



UK GOVERNMENT SHARED PROSPERITY FUND RCTCBC COMMUNITY MULTIPLY GRANT

APPLICATION ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	LOW (1)	MEDIUM (2)	HIGH (3)	
 Project Plan – activities and timescales (4.b) Extent to which there is a clear numeracy focussed activity with identified timescales & key milestones. 	 Very limited or no programme plan. No timescales or milestones (or timescales and milestones that are unrealistic) Very limited no reference to numeracy or mathematics within activity 	 Outline programme plan provided with some timescales and milestones identified. Some reference to numeracy or mathematics within activity 	 A clear, robust programme plan with identifiable and realistic timescales and milestones. Clear reference to numeracy or mathematics within activity 	
2. UK Government Shared Prosperity Fund Objectives (4.c)	 Very limited or no outcomes identified with no information on measurement. 	 Some outcomes identified with attempt to demonstrate how these will 	 Clear and appropriate outcomes identified which appear achievable and 	





Funded by Wedi ei ariannu gan UK Government UK Government



Multiply

Your project must meet the UKGSPF objective. Degree of certainty that the projected outputs and outcomes are achievable and measurable		be measured.	measurable.
3. Climate Change (4.e) Extent to which the proposal contributes to the councils target of being carbon neutral by 2030	 Very limited of no reference to climate change aims and objectives 	 Some reference to achieving climate change aims and objectives and how this will be done 	 Clear evidence that climate change aims and objectives have been considered with detailed plans to outline how this will be achieved.
 4. Equal Opportunities & Welsh Language (4.f.) Extent to which the proposal will promote equal opportunities & the Welsh Language 	 Very limited or no reference to integrate equal opportunities & Welsh Language aims and objectives 	 Some reference to achieving equal opportunities & Welsh Language aims and objectives and how this will be done 	 Clear evidence that equal opportunities & Welsh Language aims and objectives have been considered with detailed plans to outline how this will be achieved.
5. Proven track record (4.g) Extent to which the applicant has experience in successful project delivery and grant	 Very limited or no proven track record of managing and delivering funding and projects 	 Some relevant experience of delivering successful funded projects with 	 Clear evidence demonstrating a proven track record of delivering





management		RCTCBC or other funders	successful funded projects with RCTCBC or other funders.
6. Project Costs (5) Extent to which all appropriate expenditure and sources of income have been identified. Extent to which the proposal demonstrates value for money.	 Very limited financial detail provided. No match funding identified Project doesn't offer value for money 	 Some financial detail provided. Some match funding identified Project offers value for money somewhat 	 Comprehensive financial detail provided. Significant match funding identified Project offers good value for money

ASSESSMENT OUTCOME RECORD

Name of	Project Name
Organisation	
Case Reference Number	Total Cost (including Grant)
Date of Panel	Panel members



Assessment Summary	Score	
	(H=3, M=2, L=1)	Comments
1. Project Plan 2 – Activities and timescales		
2. Outcomes and Output projections		
3. Climate Change		
4. Equal Opportunities & Welsh Language		
5. Proven Track Record		
6. Project Costs / Value for Money		
TOTAL SCORE		



Final Assessment Comments
*Delete as appropriate
*A score of 1 has been recorded in one or more sections – list all that apply – Therefore the application has been declined.
*A score of 12/18 or less has been recorded - Therefore the application has been declined.
*A score of 13 or above out of 18 has been recorded - Therefore the application will be considered by panel.

Final Assessment De	cision
Approved	
Declined	
Date	
Case Reference Number	
Number	