Alternative Site Representations Register Corrections Addendum

Representations 306.A1 – 306.A83

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A1 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1168/ASD004 Former Cwm Colliery and Coking Works, Tyn-y-

nant. (Policy SSA 7)

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

AS(D)4 - Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau

AS(D)5 - Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda

AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)

AS(D)18 - Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)

AS(D)19 - Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy

AS(D)23 - Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd

AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A2 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1169/ASD005 NSA 5 - Former Fernhill Colliery Site

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

AS(D)4 - Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau

AS(D)5 - Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda

AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)

AS(D)18 - Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)

AS(D)19 - Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy

AS(D)23 - Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd

AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 2 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A3 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1177/ASD013 NSA 8 - Land South of Hirwaun

Itam Quantian - Paproparation Toy!

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

AS(D)4 - Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau

AS(D)5 - Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda

AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)

AS(D)18 - Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)

AS(D)19 - Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy

AS(D)23 - Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd

AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A4 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1182/ASD018 CS 9.1 - Land at Bryn Pica (including landfilling

of residential wastes)

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

AS(D)4 - Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau

AS(D)5 - Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda

AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)

AS(D)18 - Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)

AS(D)19 - Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy

AS(D)23 - Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd

AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 4 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A5 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1183/ASD019 Land at former Maerdy Colliery Site

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

AS(D)4 - Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau

AS(D)5 - Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda

AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)

AS(D)18 - Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)

AS(D)19 - Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy

AS(D)23 - Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd

AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 5 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A6 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1187/ASD023 SSA 10.18 - Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

AS(D)4 - Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau

AS(D)5 - Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda

AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)

AS(D)18 - Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)

AS(D)19 - Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy

AS(D)23 - Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd

AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 6 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A7 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1190/ASD026 SSA 8 - Mwyndy / Talbot Green

Nom Overtion - Permanentation Tout

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

AS(D)4 - Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau

AS(D)5 - Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda

AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)

AS(D)18 - Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)

AS(D)19 - Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy

AS(D)23 - Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd

AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 7 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A8 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1013/ASN003 Former Phurnacite Plant

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 8 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40

Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46

Efail Isaf AS(N)48

Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56

Hirwaun AS(N)76

Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85

Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93

Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111

Pontypridd AS(N)121

Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 9 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 10 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A9 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1014/ASN004 Former Phurnacite Plant

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 11 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 12 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A11 The Coal Authority C

Site: 891/ASN010 Land at Coleg Morgannwg

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Educational Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would stress the need to properly address the public safety hazards arising from mining legacy on highly sensitive sites such as those proposed for educational use.

Aberdare AS(N)10 Pontypridd AS(N)118

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 13 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A12 The Coal Authority C

Site: 953/ASN011 Cwm Farm

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 14 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42

Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46

Efail Isaf AS(N)48

Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56

Hirwaun AS(N)76

Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85

Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93

Penrhiwfer AS(N)109

Penywaun AS(N)111

Pontypridd AS(N)121

Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 15 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A13 The Coal Authority C

Site: 869/ASN012 Land at Abernant Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Abernant AS(N)12 Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site Hirwaun AS(N)72 Mwyndy AS(N)105 Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 16 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A14 The Coal Authority C

Site: 993/ASN013 Land at Abernant

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 17 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76

Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 18 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 19 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A15 The Coal Authority C

Site: 988/ASN014 Land at Cwmbach Road

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 20 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 21 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 22 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A16 The Coal Authority C

Site: 951/ASN015 Land West of Abernant Road

New Outstand Demonstration Total

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 23 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 24 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 25 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A17 The Coal Authority C

Site: 954/ASN016 Land rear of Werfa Lane

Item Question Representation Text

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 27 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 28 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A18 The Coal Authority C

Site: 930/ASN017 Allotment Gardens at Windsor Terrace

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 29 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)42 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109

Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 30 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 31 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A19 The Coal Authority C

Site: 955/ASN019 Land adjacent to High Mead

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 32 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

10/06/2010 Page 33 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A20 The Coal Authority C

Site: 871/ASN023 Former Fernhill Colliery Site (Policy NSA 5)

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Land Reclamation Scheme Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority would support land reclamation on these sites to help address mining legacy risks.

Blaencwm AS(N)23 Former Fernhill Colliery Site Ynyshir AS(N)177

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 34 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A21 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1019/ASN025 Former Stelco Hardy Site

Hom Quantian — Papragantation Tout

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25 Cwmparc AS(N)43 Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71 Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 35 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A22 The Coal Authority C

Site: 883/ASN026 Former Fernhill Colliery Site (policy NSA 5)

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Abernant AS(N)12 Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site Hirwaun AS(N)72 Mwyndy AS(N)105 Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 36 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A23 The Coal Authority C

Site: 880/ASN027 Former Stelco Hardy Site

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27 Hirwaun AS(N)69 Llanharan AS(N)81 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94 Pontypridd AS(N)120 Tonyrefail AS(N)140 Treforest AS(N)158 Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 37 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A24 The Coal Authority C

Site: 956/ASN033 WPD Depot

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 38 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121

Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 39 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 40 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A25 The Coal Authority C

Site: 853/ASN038 Plot 10-12 Incline Row

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 41 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 42 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 43 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A26 The Coal Authority C

Site: 846/ASN040 Land at Crichton Farm

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 44 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 45 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 46 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A27 The Coal Authority C

Site: 990/ASN042 Land at Dare Road

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 47 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 48 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A28 The Coal Authority C

Site: 914/ASN043 Land adjacent to Alison House Community Centre

Ham Quanting - Dangangating Tayl

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25 Cwmparc AS(N)43 Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71 Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 49 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A29 The Coal Authority C

Site: 944/ASN044 Land adjoining Bedw Farm Estate

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 50 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 51 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 52 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A30 The Coal Authority C

Site: 926/ASN045 Land East of Aubery Road

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 53 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 54 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 55 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A31 The Coal Authority C

Site: 965/ASN046 Land rear of Wynham Street/Kimberley Way

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 56 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 57 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 58 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A32 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1001/ASN048 Land at Garth Farm

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 59 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38

Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42

Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46

Efail Isaf AS(N)48

Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56

Hirwaun AS(N)76

Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85

Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93

Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111

Pontypridd AS(N)121

Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 61 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A33 The Coal Authority C

Site: 898/ASN054 Land to the south of High Street

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 62 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42

Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46

Efail Isaf AS(N)48

Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56

Hirwaun AS(N)76

Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85

Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93

Penrhiwfer AS(N)109

Penywaun AS(N)111

Pontypridd AS(N)121

Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 63 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 64 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A34 The Coal Authority C

Site: 860/ASN056 Land to the rear of High Street

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 65 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

10/06/2010 Page 66 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A35 The Coal Authority C

Site: 975/ASN064 Land at Hirwaun

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68 Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104 Trecynon AS(N)157

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 67 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A36 The Coal Authority C

Site: 851/ASN066 Land at Hirwaun

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25 Cwmparc AS(N)43 Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71 Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 68 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A37 The Coal Authority C

Site: 873/ASN067 Policy CS 9.2- Waste Management, Hirwaun

Industri

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or
immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78 Llwydcoed AS(N)96 Penywaun AS(N)110

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 69 of 208

1 3 - 4

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A38 The Coal Authority C

Site: 894/ASN068 Hirwaun Industrial Estate

Item Question Representation Text

._____

Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68 Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104 Trecynon AS(N)157

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 70 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A39 The Coal Authority C

Site: 848/ASN069 Land adjacent to Rhigos Road

Ham Overting Demonstration Total

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27 Hirwaun AS(N)69 Llanharan AS(N)81 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94 Pontypridd AS(N)120 Tonyrefail AS(N)140 Treforest AS(N)158 Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 71 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A40 The Coal Authority C

Site: 957/ASN071 Tower Colliery Pit Head Site

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25 Cwmparc AS(N)43 Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71 Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 72 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A41 The Coal Authority C

Site: 889/ASN072 Land opposite Gamlyn Terrace

Have Consider an Demonstration Tout

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Abernant AS(N)12 Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site Hirwaun AS(N)72 Mwyndy AS(N)105 Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 73 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A42 The Coal Authority C

Site: 976/ASN076 Land adjacent to Rhigos Road

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 74 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 75 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A43 The Coal Authority C

Site: 884/ASN077 Land adjacent to Rhigos Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or
immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78 Llwydcoed AS(N)96 Penywaun AS(N)110

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 76 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A44 The Coal Authority C

Site: 877/ASN078 Land adjacent to Rhigos Road

Ham Quanting - Daysonaptation Tays

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or
immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78 Llwydcoed AS(N)96 Penywaun AS(N)110

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 77 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A45 The Coal Authority C

Site: 999/ASN081 Fomer Llanharan Sewerage Treatment Works

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27 Hirwaun AS(N)69 Llanharan AS(N)81 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94 Pontypridd AS(N)120 Tonyrefail AS(N)140 Treforest AS(N)158 Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 78 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A46 The Coal Authority C

Site: 902/ASN083 Land to the north of The Patch

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 79 of 208

Page 80 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Treherbert AS(N)160

10/06/2010

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 81 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A47 The Coal Authority C

Site: 987/ASN085 Land to the north of Llanharry

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 82 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 83 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 84 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A48 The Coal Authority C

Site: 916/ASN091 Land at Heol Creigiau

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 85 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 86 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 87 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A49 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1006/ASN092 Ystrad Barwig Isaf

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 88 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 90 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A50 The Coal Authority C

Site: 994/ASN093 Newtown Industrial Estate

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 91 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 92 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A51 The Coal Authority C

Site: 911/ASN094 Land at Ystrad Barwig Isaf

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27 Hirwaun AS(N)69 Llanharan AS(N)81 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94 Pontypridd AS(N)120 Tonyrefail AS(N)140 Treforest AS(N)158 Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 93 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A52 The Coal Authority C

Site: 910/ASN095 Land at Ystrad Barwig Isaf

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Retail Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Llantwit Fardre AS(N)95 Trealaw AS(N)150

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 94 of 208

1 3 - 4

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A53 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1011/ASN096 Land at Bryn Pica

Item Question Representation Text

Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or
immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78 Llwydcoed AS(N)96 Penywaun AS(N)110

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 95 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A54 The Coal Authority C

Site: 932/ASN103 Land at Mwyndy

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68 Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104 Trecynon AS(N)157

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 96 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A55 The Coal Authority C

Site: 913/ASN104 Land at Mwyndy

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68 Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104 Trecynon AS(N)157

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 97 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A56 The Coal Authority C

Site: 984/ASN105 Land at Mwyndy Quarry

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Abernant AS(N)12 Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site Hirwaun AS(N)72 Mwyndy AS(N)105 Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 98 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A57 The Coal Authority C

Site: 842/ASN109 Land at House O "The Trees"

Item Question Representation Text

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109

Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 100 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A58 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1015/ASN110 Land South of Penywaun

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or
immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78 Llwydcoed AS(N)96 Penywaun AS(N)110

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 101 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A59 The Coal Authority C

Site: 866/ASN111 Land opposite Gamlyn Terrace

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 102 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 103 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A60 The Coal Authority C

Site: 872/ASN118 University of Glamorgan

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Educational Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would stress the need to properly address the public safety hazards arising from mining legacy on highly sensitive sites such as those proposed for educational use.

Aberdare AS(N)10 Pontypridd AS(N)118

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 104 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A61 The Coal Authority C

Site: 881/ASN120 Treforest Industrial Estate

ltem Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27 Hirwaun AS(N)69 Llanharan AS(N)81 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94 Pontypridd AS(N)120 Tonyrefail AS(N)140 Treforest AS(N)158 Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 105 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A62 The Coal Authority C

Site: 892/ASN121 Land at Ty Draw, Gelliwion

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 106 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 107 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 108 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A63 The Coal Authority C

Site: 996/ASN122 Land at Mount Pleasant

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 109 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 110 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 111 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A64 The Coal Authority C

Site: 940/ASN123 Large site off Llanwonno Road /Lady Lewis Tip

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 112 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 113 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 114 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A65 The Coal Authority C

Site: 948/ASN124 Land off Hollybush Grove/Penrhiwgwynt Road

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 115 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 116 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A66 The Coal Authority C

Site: 847/ASN129 Land at Ysgubor-wen Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Abernant AS(N)12 Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site Hirwaun AS(N)72 Mwyndy AS(N)105 Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 117 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A67 The Coal Authority C

Site: 882/ASN140 Land at Parc Eirin

Hom Quantian - Pantagontation Tout

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27 Hirwaun AS(N)69 Llanharan AS(N)81 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94 Pontypridd AS(N)120 Tonyrefail AS(N)140 Treforest AS(N)158 Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 118 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A68 The Coal Authority C

Site: 972/ASN142 SSA 10.10 - Land east of Hafod Wen and north

of Co

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 119 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 120 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 121 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A69 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1017/ASN146 Land at Tylcha Fach

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 122 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85

Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 123 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 124 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A70 The Coal Authority C

Site: 864/ASN149 Land rear of Mill Street

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 125 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 126 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A71 The Coal Authority C

Site: 890/ASN150 Ynys Field Site, Dinas Road,

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Retail Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Llantwit Fardre AS(N)95 Trealaw AS(N)150

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 127 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A72 The Coal Authority C

Site: 874/ASN152 Land south of Trebanog (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 128 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

10/06/2010 Page 129 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 130 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A73 The Coal Authority C

Site: 947/ASN154 Land rear of Trebanog Road (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 131 of 208

Page 132 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Treherbert AS(N)160

10/06/2010

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A74 The Coal Authority C

Site: 968/ASN157 NSA 14.3 - Land at Former Mayhew Chicken Factory

Itom Quaction — Papracantation Toyt

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68 Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104 Trecynon AS(N)157

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 133 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A75 The Coal Authority C

Site: 983/ASN158 Land at Treforest Industrial Estate

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27 Hirwaun AS(N)69 Llanharan AS(N)81 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94 Pontypridd AS(N)120 Tonyrefail AS(N)140 Treforest AS(N)158 Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 134 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A76 The Coal Authority C

Site: 919/ASN160 Former Stelco Hardy Factory

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 135 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46

Efail Isaf AS(N)48

Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56

Hirwaun AS(N)76

Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85

Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93

Penrhiwfer AS(N)109

Penywaun AS(N)111

Pontypridd AS(N)121

Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124

Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treherbert AS(N)160

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 136 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A77 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1021/ASN162 Land at the end of Ynysfeio Avenue, Treherbert

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25 Cwmparc AS(N)43 Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71 Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 137 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A78 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1016/ASN163 Land at the end of Ynysfeio Avenue, Treherbert

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25 Cwmparc AS(N)43 Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71 Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 138 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A79 The Coal Authority C

Site: 925/ASN169 Land to the north-east of Carne Street

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 139 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 140 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A80 The Coal Authority C

Site: 1003/ASN171 Former Burberry Factory

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27 Hirwaun AS(N)69 Llanharan AS(N)81 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94 Pontypridd AS(N)120 Tonyrefail AS(N)140 Treforest AS(N)158 Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 141 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A81 The Coal Authority C

Site: 927/ASN172 land at Tynybedw Terrace

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 142 of 208

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149

Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

10/06/2010 Page 143 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 144 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

306.A82 The Coal Authority C

Site: 895/ASN173 Land at Tyle Garw

Item Question Representation Text

10/06/2010 Page 145 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or

immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercymboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cvmmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85 Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93 Penrhiwfer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154

Treherbert AS(N)160

Tyle Garw AS(N)173

Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172

10/06/2010 Page 146 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Status Modified

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A83 The Coal Authority C

Site: 922/ASN177 Lady Lewis Site (Rhondda LP allocation - ENV 11.20

Hom Ougation - Danvagantation Tout

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local

community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Land Reclamation Scheme Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority would support land reclamation on these sites to help address mining legacy risks.

Blaencwm AS(N)23 Former Fernhill Colliery Site Ynyshir AS(N)177

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010 Page 147 of 208

Alternative Site Representations Register Corrections Addendum

Representations 4112.A1 – 4112.A61

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A1 Cardiff Council C

Site: 859/ASN005 Site B opposite Parc Newydd Farm, Grovers

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 148 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A2 Cardiff Council C

Site: 861/ASN009 Site A opposite Parc Newydd Farm, Grovers

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 149 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A3 Cardiff Council C

Site: 955/ASN019 Land adjacent to High Mead

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 150 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A4 Cardiff Council C

Site: 887/ASN020 Land south of Brynteg Lane

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 151 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A5 Cardiff Council C

Site: 995/ASN022 Land adjacent to 'Greenway'

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 152 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A6 Cardiff Council C

Site: 852/ASN028 Golf Course Site, Brynna Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 153 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A7 Cardiff Council C

Site: 862/ASN029 Land South of Brynna Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 154 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A8 Cardiff Council C

Site: 997/ASN031 Llwynau Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 155 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A9 Cardiff Council C

Site: 946/ASN032 North of Talygarn House

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 156 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A10 Cardiff Council C

Site: 956/ASN033 WPD Depot

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 157 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A11 Cardiff Council C

Site: 985/ASN034 Land r/o Cilfynydd Road/ Bodwenarth

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 158 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A12 Cardiff Council C

Site: 1001/ASN048 Land at Garth Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 159 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A13 Cardiff Council C

Site: 904/ASN049 Land south of Heol Iscoed

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 160 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A14 Cardiff Council C

Site: 970/ASN050 Land of Heol y Parc

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 161 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A15 Cardiff Council C

Site: 961/ASN051 Land adjacent to the Willows and Heol Dowlais

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 162 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A16 Cardiff Council C

Site: 886/ASN052 Ffrwd Phillip Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 163 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A17 Cardiff Council C

Site: 960/ASN053 Land adjacent to Meadow View, North

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 164 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A18 Cardiff Council C

Site: 962/ASN062 Land rear of Llwynpennau Cottages

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 165 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A19 Cardiff Council C

Site: 977/ASN063 Land south of A4119

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 166 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A20 Cardiff Council C

Site: 1009/ASN079 Land east of The Bungalow, Llantrisant Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 167 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A21 Cardiff Council C

Site: 1000/ASN080 Land east of The Bungalow, Llantrisant Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 168 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A22 Cardiff Council C

Site: 878/ASN082 Land at the cricket field

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 169 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A23 Cardiff Council C

Site: 1008/ASN084 Land at Elms Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 170 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A24 Cardiff Council C

Site: 987/ASN085 Land to the north of Llanharry

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 171 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A25 Cardiff Council C

Site: 941/ASN087 Land at Felindre Road, St. Mary Hill

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 172 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A26 Cardiff Council C

Site: 916/ASN091 Land at Heol Creigiau

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 173 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A27 Cardiff Council C

Site: 994/ASN093 Newtown Industrial Estate

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 174 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A28 Cardiff Council C

Site: 992/ASN097 Land off Hospital Site

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 175 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A29 Cardiff Council C

Site: 901/ASN100 Hendy Quarry

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 176 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A30 Cardiff Council C

Site: 929/ASN107 Land at former allotment at Pantygraigwen Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 177 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A31 Cardiff Council C

Site: 928/ASN108 Land at former Allotment site at Pantygraigwen

Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and

strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 178 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A32 Cardiff Council C

Site: 973/ASN113 Ceulan Stud, Pontyclun

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 179 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A33 Cardiff Council C

Site: 850/ASN116 Land at Heatherview Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 180 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A34 Cardiff Council C

Site: 892/ASN121 Land at Ty Draw, Gelliwion

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 181 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A35 Cardiff Council C

Site: 996/ASN122 Land at Mount Pleasant

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 182 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A36 Cardiff Council C

Site: 940/ASN123 Large site off Llanwonno Road /Lady Lewis Tip

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 183 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A37 Cardiff Council C

Site: 948/ASN124 Land off Hollybush Grove/Penrhiwgwynt Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 184 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A38 Cardiff Council C

Site: 900/ASN127 Land adjacent to Glyn Taff Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 185 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A39 Cardiff Council C

Site: 875/ASN128 Alexon Site, Cardiff Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 186 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A40 Cardiff Council C

Site: 1004/ASN132 Forgemasters Site

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 187 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A41 Cardiff Council C

Site: 905/ASN133 Land at Garth Isaf Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 188 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A42 Cardiff Council C

Site: 857/ASN134 Garth Isaf Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 189 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A43 Cardiff Council C

Site: 982/ASN136 Land South of the M4, North of St. Annes Court

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 190 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A44 Cardiff Council C

Site: 1018/ASN137 Land at Talygarn

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 191 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A45 Cardiff Council C

Site: 868/ASN138 Land at Church Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 192 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A46 Cardiff Council C

Site: 986/ASN141 Land South of Gilfach Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 193 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Status Modified

С 4112.A47 Cardiff Council

Site: 972/ASN142 SSA 10.10 - Land east of Hafod Wen and north

of Co

Item Question Representation Text

Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site? 1 3 - 4

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and

strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 194 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A48 Cardiff Council C

Site: 863/ASN143 St. Johns The Baptist's Church

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 195 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A49 Cardiff Council C

Site: 991/ASN145 Land at Trane Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 196 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A50 Cardiff Council C

Site: 1017/ASN146 Land at Tylcha Fach

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 197 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A51 Cardiff Council C

Site: 969/ASN147 Land off Mill Street

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 198 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A52 Cardiff Council C

Site: 963/ASN148 Land at Glyndale / Rhiwinder Fach, Pant y Brad

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 199 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A53 Cardiff Council C

Site: 864/ASN149 Land rear of Mill Street

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 200 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A54 Cardiff Council C

Site: 945/ASN151 Land fronting Trebanog Road (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 201 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A55 Cardiff Council C

Site: 874/ASN152 Land south of Trebanog (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 202 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A56 Cardiff Council C

Site: 938/ASN153 Land adjacent to Rhiw Garn (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 203 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A57 Cardiff Council C

Site: 947/ASN154 Land rear of Trebanog Road (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 204 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A58 Cardiff Council C

Site: 981/ASN155 Land north of Rhiw Garn Fawr

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 205 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A59 Cardiff Council C

Site: 895/ASN173 Land at Tyle Garw

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 206 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A60 Cardiff Council C

Site: 1002/ASN176 Land to the west of Ynyshir

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 207 of 208

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Status Modified

4112.A61 Cardiff Council C

Site: 939/ASN178 Land at Buarth y Capel

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas

10/06/2010 Page 208 of 208

Alternative Site Representations Register

Corrections Addendum

Representation 564.A10

Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plar

by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A Date Lodged Late? Source Type Mode Rep'n No Representor Agent Accession No Status Status Modified Llanharry Community Council S М 564.A9 Site: 1047/ASS097 West of Llechau Item Question Representation Text 1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site? We support the deletion of this site as it is totally unsuitable for development. 0 М 564.A10 Llanharry Community Council Site: 902/ASN083 Land to the north of The Patch Item Question Representation Text 1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site? Site of historic interest, tumulus in adjacent field, which is also the site of a Bronze Age Beaker man. No road access/ infrastructure. If included within the settlement boundary could cause overdevelopment of the area. 580.A1 Welsh Assembly Government Ω М Site: 846/ASN040 Land at Crichton Farm Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

I am writing in relation to the consultation on the above new site which appears on the Local Development Plan Alternative Site Register which covers Cwmbach. I have visited the site and wish to make the following observations:-

The area is one of natural beauty and of recreational and educational benefit to local residents. Previously a site showing the scars of industrial activity, it now has established woods of broad-leaf trees and a diverse habitat and encouraging a wide variety of wildlife. Currently it acts as a very well used and valued green area for the community of Cwmbach.

Plans to remove the disused tips means a total transformation of the site, loss of trees and habitat and, not lease, many months of dust and the noise of excavation and transportation of spoil.

I should be grateful if you would consider these points when weighing up responses to the consultation process.